

ಚಾಮುಂಡೇಶ್ವರಿ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಸರಬರಾಜು  
ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ  
(ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಸ್ವಾಮ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ)  
ನಿಗಮ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ,  
ಚಾವಿಸನಿನಿ, ಮೈಸೂರು - 570017  
ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 0821- 2343384



CHAMUNDESHWARI ELECTRICITY  
SUPPLY CORPORATION LIMITED  
(Government of Karnataka undertaking)  
Corporate Office,  
CESC, Mysuru-570017  
Website: [www.cescmysore.org](http://www.cescmysore.org)  
E-mail ID: [agmtax@cescmysore.org](mailto:agmtax@cescmysore.org)

Company Identity Number[CIN]:- U40109KA2004SGCO35177

No: CESC/TAX/03/10/2022-23/ 045-1633  
Encl: 11 Pages.

Date:

02/2/2023

### CIRCULAR

**Sub:** GST applicability on liquidated damages, compensation and penalty arising out of breach of contract or other provisions of law.

**Ref:** Circular No.178/10/2022-GST, dated 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2022 issued by the Tax Research Unit of the Department of Revenue, Ministry of Finance, Govt. of India.

1. The applicability of GST on payments in the nature of liquidated damage, compensation, penalty, cancellation charges, late payment surcharge etc. arising out of the breach of contract or otherwise and scope of the entry at para 5 (e) of Schedule II of the Central GST Act, 2017, read with Karnataka GST Act, 2017, has been clarified in detail by the Department of Revenue, Ministry of Finance, in the Circular instructions dated 03-08-2022, cited under reference.
2. According to the Circular under ref, "*agreeing to the obligation to refrain from an act or to tolerate an act or a situation, or to do an act*" has been specifically declared to be a 'Supply of Service' in para 5 (e) of Schedule II of GST Acts, if the same constitutes as "supply" within the meaning of the Acts. The said expression in Schedule II has the following three limbs:-
  - a) Agreeing to the obligation to refrain from an act;
  - b) Agreeing to the obligation to tolerate an act or a situation; and
  - c) Agreeing to the obligation to do an act.
3. The "*Services of agreeing to the obligation to refrain from an act*" or "*Services of agreeing to tolerate an act or a situation*" or "*Services of agreeing to do an act*", is nothing but a contractual agreement. There must be a necessary and sufficient nexus between the supply, that is agreement to do or to abstain from doing something and the consideration. There has to be an express and implied agreement either oral or written, to do or to abstain from doing something against payment of *consideration* for doing or abstaining from such act, for a taxable supply to exist.

4. In view of the above, the Department of Revenue, Ministry of Finance, has issued the Circular under ref, dated 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2022 with examples. As such this circular is to bring to the notice of accounting units of CESC about the applicability of GST on certain transactions so that there would be uniformity in the *applicability or otherwise* of GST on those transactions. The same are detailed in the following table:-


Sl. No	Activity(Supply) - Description of the activity	Whether taxable or not	Reasoning
i	<b>Liquidated damages paid for breach of contract -</b> The parties entering into a contract, specify in the contract itself the compensation that would be payable in the event of the breach of the contract. Such compensation specified in a written contract for breach of non-performance of the contract or parties of the contract is referred to as liquidated damages.	Not taxable under the GST.	Performance is the essence of the contract. Liquidated damages cannot be said to be consideration received for tolerating the breach or non-performance of contract. They are rather payments for not tolerating the breach of contract. Payment of liquidated damages is stipulated in a contract to ensure performance and to deter non-performance, unsatisfactory performance or delayed performance. It is a mere flow of money from the party who causes breach of the contract to the party who suffers loss or damage due to such breach. Such payments <b>do not constitute consideration</b> for a supply and are not taxable.
ii	<b>Cheque dishonour fine/penalty charged by a power distribution company from the customers -</b> Fine or penalty collected by the Corporation when the cheque issued by the customer gets bounced or dishonoured for any reason.	Not taxable under GST	There is never an implied or express offer or willingness on part of the Corporation that it would tolerate deposit of an invalid, fake or unworthy instrument of payment against consideration in the form of cheque dishonour fine or penalty. The fine or penalty that the Corporation imposes, for dishonour of a cheque, is a penalty imposed not for tolerating the act or situation but a fine, or penalty imposed for not tolerating, penalizing and thereby deterring and discouraging such an act or situation. Therefore, cheque dishonour fine or penalty is not a consideration for any service and not taxable.

iii	<p><b>Penalty imposed for violation of laws -</b> Penalty imposed for violation of laws such as traffic violations, or for violation of pollution norms or other laws connected with the Corporation.</p>	Not taxable under GST	<p>Such penalties imposed for violation of laws <b>cannot be regarded as consideration</b> charged by Government or a local authority or Corporation for tolerating violation of laws. Laws are not framed for tolerating their violation. They stipulate penalty not for tolerating violation but for not tolerating, penalising and deterring such violation. There is no agreement between the Corporation and the violator specifying that violation would be allowed or permitted against payment or fine or penalty.</p>
iv	<p><b>Forfeiture of salary or payment of bond amount-</b>It is done in the event of the employee leaving the employment before the minimum agreed period.</p>	Not taxable under GS	<p>Premature leaving of the employment results in disruption of work and an undesirable situation. The provisions for forfeiture of salary or recovery of bond amount in the event of employee leaving the employment before agreed period are incorporated in the employment contract to discourage non-serious candidates from taking employment. The said amount is recovered by the employer not as a consideration for tolerating the act but as penalties to discourage and deter such a situation. Therefore, such amounts recovered by the employer are not taxable as considerations for the service of agreeing to tolerate an act.</p>
v	<p><b>Fixed Capacity charges for Power-</b>The price charged for electricity by the power generating companies from the SEBs/DISCOMs or by SEBs/DISCOMs from individual customers.</p>	Not taxable under GST	<p>This has two components, namely, a minimum fixed charge (or capacity charge) and variable per unit charge. They take care of the fixed cost of generating/supplying electricity. The variable charges are charged per unit of electricity purchased or consumed.</p> <p>Both the components of the price, that is, the minimum fixed charges/capacity charges and the variable charges, are charged for supply of electrical energy and are thus not taxable as the same is exempt under GST.</p>

vi	<p><b>Late payment surcharge or fee</b> - The facility of accepting late payments with interest or late payment fee, fine or penalty is a facility granted by the supplier naturally bundled with the main supply.</p>	<p>&gt;Taxable under GST if <i>the main supply</i> is taxable.</p> <p>&gt;However, in the case of the Corporation, if the late payment surcharge or fee or interest is collected towards delay in payment of charges for supply of electrical energy, since here main supply is exempt from GST, <i>then not Taxble under GST.</i></p>	<p>The facility of accepting late payments with interest or late payment fee, fine or penalty is a facility granted by supplier naturally bundled with the main supply, in the case of supply of power, water, telecommunication services, cooking gas, insurance etc. Almost all service providers across the world provide the facility of accepting late payments with late fine or penalty. This can be termed as service of tolerating the act of late payment. But, it is an ancillary supply naturally bundled and supplied in conjunction with the principal supply. Therefore, it should be assessed as the principal supply. Since it is ancillary to and naturally bundled with the principal supply, it should be assessed at the same rate as the principal supply.</p>
vii	<p><b>Cancellation charges</b> - Here the 'Cancellation charges' refers to cancellation of hotel accommodation, an entertainment event or a journey etc.</p>	<p>Taxable under GST</p>	<p>It is a common business practice for suppliers of services such as hotel accommodation, tour and travel, transportation etc. to provide the facility of cancellation of the intended supplies within a certain time period on payment of cancellation fee. Cancellation fee can be considered as the charges for the costs involved in making arrangements for the intended supply and the costs involved in cancellation of the supply, such as in cancellation of reserved tickets by the Indian Railways.</p> <p>Therefore, facilitation supply of allowing cancellation of an intended supply against payment of cancellation fee or retention or forfeiture of a part or whole of the consideration or security deposit in such cases should be assessed as the principal supply. For example, cancellation charges of railway tickets for a class would attract GST at the same rate as applicable to the class of travel (i.e., 5% GST on first class or air-conditioned coach ticket and nil for other classes such as second sleeper class). Same is the case for air travel.</p>

viii	<b>Forfeiture of earnest money</b> -Forfeiture by Govt. or local authority or Corporation in the event of successful bidder failing to act after winning the bid	Not taxable under the GST	Forfeiture by Government or local authority of Corporation in the event of a successful bidder failing to act after winning the bid , is a mere flow of money, as the buyer or the successful bidder does not get anything in return for such forfeiture of earnest money. Forfeiture of earnest money is stipulated in such cases not as a consideration for tolerating the breach of contract but as a compensation for the losses suffered and as a penalty for discouraging the non-serious buyers or bidders. Such payments being merely flow of money are not a consideration for any supply and are not taxable.
------	--	---------------------------	---

5. All accounting units are advised that while the *taxability in each case* shall depend on facts of that case, the above guidelines may be followed in determining whether tax on an activity or transaction needs to be paid treating the same as service by way of agreeing to the obligation to refrain from an act or tolerate an act or a situation, or to do an act.
6. Any difficulty in implementation of the Circular may be brought to the notice to this undersigned office. A copy of the Circular issued by the Ministry may be referred as per Ref(1) for your ready reference.

  
**Managing Director,**  
**CESC, Mysuru.**

**Copy to:-**

1. Chief General Manager(I/ A), Corporate office, CESC for Kind information.
2. Chief Engineer(EI), CESC, O&M Zone, Mysore/Hassan.
3. All General Manager, CESC, Corporate Office, Mysuru.
4. General Manager(Projects), CESC, Corporate Office, Mysuru -for implementation of point 2 of the above circular in the billing software
5. All SEEs O&M Circles, CESC.
6. All Deputy General Manager, CESC, Corporate Office, Mysuru.
7. DGM (MIS)-To publish in CESC, Official website.
8. All Executive Engineer (Ele) O&M Division, CESC.
9. All AEEs O&M Sub-division, CESC.
10. The Asst. General Manager IM Section/EBC/Finance/Accounts/Pension/Revenue, CESC, Corporate Office, Mysuru.
11. All Account Officers(I/ A), CESC
12. MF/OC.

F. No. 190354/176/2022-TRU  
Government of India  
Ministry of Finance  
Department of Revenue  
(Tax Research Unit)  
\*\*\*\*\*

**Room No. 146G, North Block,  
New Delhi, the 3<sup>rd</sup> August, 2022**

To,  
The Principal Chief Commissioners/Chief Commissioners/ Principal Commissioners/  
Commissioner of Central Tax (All) /  
The Principal Director Generals/ Director Generals (All)

Madam/Sir,

**Subject: GST applicability on liquidated damages, compensation and penalty arising out of breach of contract or other provisions of law – reg.**

In certain cases/instances, questions have been raised regarding taxability of an activity or transaction as the supply of service of agreeing to the obligation to refrain from an act or to tolerate an act or a situation, or to do an act. Applicability of GST on payments in the nature of liquidated damage, compensation, penalty, cancellation charges, late payment surcharge etc. arising out of breach of contract or otherwise and scope of the entry at para 5 (e) of Schedule II of Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as, “CGST Act”) in this context has been examined in the following paragraphs.

2. “*Agreeing to the obligation to refrain from an act or to tolerate an act or a situation, or to do an act*” has been specifically declared to be a supply of service in para 5 (e) of Schedule II of CGST Act if the same constitutes a “supply” within the meaning of the Act. The said expression has following three limbs: -

a. **Agreeing to the obligation to refrain from an act-**

Example of activities that would be covered by this part of the expression would include non-compete agreements, where one party agrees not to compete with the other party in a product, service or geographical area against a consideration paid by the other party.

Another example of such activities would be a builder refraining from constructing more than a certain number of floors, even though permitted to do so by the municipal authorities, against a compensation paid by the neighbouring housing project, which wants to protect its sunlight, or an industrial unit refraining from manufacturing activity during

certain hours against an agreed compensation paid by a neighbouring school, which wants to avoid noise during those hours.

b. **Agreeing to the obligation to tolerate an act or a situation-**

This would include activities such a shopkeeper allowing a hawker to operate from the common pavement in front of his shop against a monthly payment by the hawker, or an RWA tolerating the use of loud speakers for early morning prayers by a school located in the colony subject to the school paying an agreed sum to the RWA as compensation.

c. **Agreeing to the obligation to do an act-**

This would include the case where an industrial unit agrees to install equipment for zero emission/discharge at the behest of the RWA of a neighbouring residential complex against a consideration paid by such RWA, even though the emission/discharge from the industrial unit was within permissible limits and there was no legal obligation upon the individual unit to do so.

3. The description “*agreeing to the obligation to refrain from an act or to tolerate an act or a situation, or to do an act*” was intended to cover services such as described above. However, over the years doubts have persisted regarding various transactions being classified under the said description.

3.1. Some of the important examples of such cases are Service Tax/GST demands on –

- i. Liquidated damages paid for breach of contract;
- ii. Compensation given to previous allottees of coal blocks for cancellation of their licenses pursuant to Supreme Court Order;
- iii. Cheque dishonour fine/penalty charged by a power distribution company from the customers;
- iv. Penalty paid by a mining company to State Government for unaccounted stock of river bed material;
- v. Bond amount recovered from an employee leaving the employment before the agreed period;
- vi. Late payment charges collected by any service provider for late payment of bills;
- vii. Fixed charges collected by a power generating company from State Electricity Boards (SEBs) or by SEBs/DISCOMs from individual customer for supply of electricity;
- viii. Cancellation charges recovered by railways for cancellation of tickets, etc.

In some of these cases, tax authorities have initiated investigation and in some advance ruling authorities have upheld taxability.

4. In Service Tax law, 'Service' was defined as any activity carried out by a person for another for consideration. As discussed in service tax education guide, the concept 'activity for a consideration' involves an element of contractual relationship wherein the person doing an activity does so at the desire of the person for whom the activity is done in exchange for a consideration. An activity done without such a relationship i.e., without the express or implied contractual reciprocity of a consideration would not be an 'activity for consideration'. The element of contractual relationship, where one supplies goods or services at the desire of another, is an essential element of supply.

5. The description of the declared service in question, namely, agreeing to the obligation to refrain from an act or to tolerate an act or a situation, or to do an act in para 5 (e) of Schedule II of CGST Act is strikingly similar to the definition of contract in the Contract Act, 1872. The Contract Act defines 'Contract' as a set of promises, forming consideration for each other. 'Promise' has been defined as willingness of the 'promisor' to do or to abstain from doing anything. 'Consideration' has been defined in the Contract Act as what the 'promisee' does or abstains from doing for the promises made to him.

6. This goes to show that the service of agreeing to the obligation to refrain from an act or to tolerate an act or a situation, or to do an act is nothing but a contractual agreement. A contract to do something or to abstain from doing something cannot be said to have taken place unless there are two parties, one of which expressly or impliedly agrees to do or abstain from doing something and the other agrees to pay consideration to the first party for doing or abstaining from such an act. There must be a necessary and sufficient nexus between the supply (i.e. agreement to do or to abstain from doing something) and the consideration.

6.1 A perusal of the entry at serial 5(e) of Schedule II would reveal that it comprises the aforementioned three different sets of activities viz. (a) the obligation to refrain from an act, (b) obligation to tolerate an act or a situation and (c) obligation to do an act. All the three activities must be under an "agreement" or a "contract" (whether express or implied) to fall within the ambit of the said entry. In other words, one of the parties to such agreement/contract (the first party) must be under a contractual obligation to either (a) refrain from an act, or (b) to tolerate an act or a situation or (c) to do an act. Further some "consideration" must flow in return from the other party to this contract/agreement (the second party) to the first party for such (a) refraining or (b) tolerating or (c) doing. Such contractual arrangement must be an independent arrangement in its own right. Such arrangement or agreement can take the form of an independent stand-alone contract or may form part of another contract. Thus, a person (the first person) can be said to be making a supply by way of refraining from doing something or tolerating some act or situation to



another person (the second person) if the first person was under an obligation to do so and then performed accordingly.

**Agreement to do or refrain from an act should not be presumed to exist**

7. There has to be an express or implied agreement; oral or written, to do or abstain from doing something against payment of consideration for doing or abstaining from such act, for a taxable supply to exist. An agreement to do an act or abstain from doing an act or to tolerate an act or a situation cannot be imagined or presumed to exist just because there is a flow of money from one party to another. Unless there is an express or implied promise by the recipient of money to agree to do or abstain from doing something in return for the money paid to him, it cannot be assumed that such payment was for doing an act or for refraining from an act or for tolerating an act or situation. Payments such as liquidated damages for breach of contract, penalties under the mining act for excess stock found with the mining company, forfeiture of salary or payment of amount as per the employment bond for leaving the employment before the minimum agreed period, penalty for cheque dishonour etc. are not a consideration for tolerating an act or situation. They are rather amounts recovered for not tolerating an act or situation and to deter such acts; such amounts are for preventing breach of contract or non-performance and are thus mere 'events' in a contract. Further, such amounts do not constitute payment (or consideration) for tolerating an act, because there cannot be any contract: (a) for breach thereof, or (b) for holding more stock than permitted under the mining contract, or (c) for leaving the employment before the agreed minimum period or (d) for doing something leading to the dishonour of a cheque. As has already been stated, unless payment has been made for an independent activity of tolerating an act under an independent arrangement entered into for such activity of tolerating an act, such payments will not constitute 'consideration' and hence such activities will not constitute "supply" within the meaning of the Act. Taxability of these transactions is discussed in greater detail in the following paragraphs.

**Liquidated Damages**

7.1 Breach or non-performance of contract by one party results in loss and damages to the other party. Therefore, the law provides in Section 73 of the Contract Act, 1972 that when a contract has been broken, the party which suffers by such breach is entitled to receive from the other party compensation for any loss or damage caused to him by such breach. The compensation is not by way of consideration for any other independent activity; it is just an event in the course of performance of that contract.

7.1.1 It is common for the parties entering into a contract, to specify in the contract itself, the compensation that would be payable in the event of the breach of the contract. Such compensation specified in a written contract for breach of non-performance of the contract or parties of the

contract is referred to as liquidated damages. Black's Law Dictionary defines 'Liquidated Damages' *as cash compensation agreed to by a signed, written contract for breach of contract, payable to the aggrieved party.*

7.1.2 Section 74 of the Contract Act, 1972 provides that when a contract is broken, if a sum has been named or a penalty stipulated in the contract as the amount or penalty to be paid in case of breach, the aggrieved party shall be entitled to receive reasonable compensation not exceeding the amount so named or the penalty so stipulated.

7.1.3 It is argued that performance is the essence of a contract. Liquidated damages cannot be said to be a consideration received for tolerating the breach or non-performance of contract. They are rather payments for not tolerating the breach of contract. Payment of liquidated damages is stipulated in a contract to ensure performance and to deter non-performance, unsatisfactory performance or delayed performance. Liquidated damages are a measure of loss and damage that the parties agree would arise due to breach of contract. They do not act as a remedy for the breach of contract. They do not restitute the aggrieved person. It is further argued that a contract is entered into for execution and not for its breach. The liquidated damages or penalty are not the desired outcome of the contract. By accepting the liquidated damages, the party aggrieved by breach of contract cannot be said to have permitted or tolerated the deviation or non-fulfilment of the promise by the other party.

7.1.4 In this background a reasonable view that can be taken with regard to taxability of liquidated damages is that where the amount paid as 'liquidated damages' is an amount paid only to compensate for injury, loss or damage suffered by the aggrieved party due to breach of the contract and there is no agreement, express or implied, by the aggrieved party receiving the liquidated damages, to refrain from or tolerate an act or to do anything for the party paying the liquidated damages, in such cases liquidated damages are mere a flow of money from the party who causes breach of the contract to the party who suffers loss or damage due to such breach. Such payments do not constitute consideration for a supply and are not taxable.

7.1.5 Examples of such cases are damages resulting from damage to property, negligence, piracy, unauthorized use of trade name, copyright, etc. Other examples that may be covered here are the penalty stipulated in a contract for delayed construction of houses. It is a penalty paid by the builder to the buyers to compensate them for the loss that they suffer due to such delayed construction and not for getting anything in return from the buyers. Similarly, forfeiture of earnest money by a seller in case of breach of 'an agreement to sell' an immovable property by the buyer or by Government or local authority in the event of a successful bidder failing to act after winning the bid, for allotment of natural resources, is a mere flow of money, as the buyer or the successful bidder does not get anything in return for such forfeiture of earnest money. Forfeiture of Earnest money is stipulated in such cases not as a consideration for tolerating the breach of contract but as

a compensation for the losses suffered and as a penalty for discouraging the non-serious buyers or bidders. Such payments being merely flow of money are not a consideration for any supply and are not taxable. The key in such cases is to consider whether the impugned payments constitute consideration for another independent contract envisaging tolerating an act or situation or refraining from doing any act or situation or simply doing an act. If the answer is yes, then it constitutes a 'supply' within the meaning of the Act, otherwise it is not a "supply".

7.1.6 If a payment constitutes a consideration for a supply, then it is taxable irrespective of by what name it is called; it must be remembered that a "consideration" cannot be considered *de hors* an agreement/contract between two persons wherein one person does something for another and that other pays the first in return. If the payment is merely an event in the course of the performance of the agreement and it does not represent the 'object', as such, of the contract then it cannot be considered 'consideration'. For example, a contract may provide that payment by the recipient of goods or services shall be made before a certain date and failure to make payment by the due date shall attract late fee or penalty. A contract for transport of passengers may stipulate that the ticket amount shall be partly or wholly forfeited if the passenger does not show up. A contract for package tour may stipulate forfeiture of security deposit in the event of cancellation of tour by the customer. Similarly, a contract for lease of movable or immovable property may stipulate that the lessee shall not terminate the lease before a certain period and if he does so he will have to pay certain amount as early termination fee or penalty. Some banks similarly charge pre- payment penalty if the borrower wishes to repay the loan before the maturity of the loan period. Such amounts paid for acceptance of late payment, early termination of lease or for pre-payment of loan or the amounts forfeited on cancellation of service by the customer as contemplated by the contract as part of commercial terms agreed to by the parties, constitute consideration for the supply of a facility, namely, of acceptance of late payment, early termination of a lease agreement, of pre-payment of loan and of making arrangements for the intended supply by the tour operator respectively. Therefore, such payments, even though they may be referred to as fine or penalty, are actually payments that amount to consideration for supply, and are subject to GST, in cases where such supply is taxable. Since these supplies are ancillary to the principal supply for which the contract is signed, they shall be eligible to be assessed as the principal supply, as discussed in detail in the later paragraphs. Naturally, such payments will not be taxable if the principal supply is exempt.

### **Compensation for cancellation of coal blocks**

7.2 In the year 2014, coal block/mine allocations were cancelled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 24.09.2014. Subsequently, Coal Mines (Special Provisions) Act, 2015 was enacted to provide for allocation of coal mines and vesting of rights, title and interest in and over the land and mines infrastructure together with mining leases to successful bidders and allottees. In accordance with section 16 of the said Act, prior (old) allottee of mines were given

compensation in the year 2016 towards the transfer of their rights/ titles in the land, mine infrastructure, geological reports, consents, approvals etc. to the new entity (successful bidder) as per the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

7.2.1 There was no agreement between the prior allottees of coal blocks and the Government that the previous allottees shall agree to or tolerate cancellation of the coal blocks allocated to them if the Government pays compensation to them. No such promise or offer was made by the prior allottees to the Government. The allottees had no option but to accept the cancellation. The compensation was given to them for such cancellation, not under a contract between the allottees and the Government, but under the provisions of the statute and in pursuance of the Supreme Court Order. Therefore, it would be incorrect to say that the prior allottees of the coal blocks supplied a service to the Government by way of agreeing to tolerate the cancellation of the allocations made to them by the Government or that the compensation paid by the Government for such cancellation in pursuance to the order of the Supreme Court was a consideration for such service. Therefore, the compensation paid for cancellation of coal blocks pursuant to the order of the Supreme Court in the above case was not taxable.

### **Cheque dishonor fine/ penalty**

7.3 No supplier wants a cheque given to him to be dishonoured. It entails extra administrative cost to him and disruption of his routine activities and cash flow. The promise made by any supplier of goods or services is to make supply against payment within an agreed time (including the agreed permissible time with late payment) through a valid instrument. There is never an implied or express offer or willingness on part of the supplier that he would tolerate deposit of an invalid, fake or unworthy instrument of payment against consideration in the form of cheque dishonour fine or penalty. The fine or penalty that the supplier or a banker imposes, for dishonour of a cheque, is a penalty imposed not for tolerating the act or situation but a fine, or penalty imposed for not tolerating, penalizing and thereby deterring and discouraging such an act or situation. Therefore, cheque dishonor fine or penalty is not a consideration for any service and not taxable.

### **Penalty imposed for violation of laws**

7.4 Penalty imposed for violation of laws such as traffic violations, or for violation of pollution norms or other laws are also not consideration for any supply received and are not taxable, which are also not taxable. Same is the case with fines, penalties imposed by the mining Department of a Central or State Government or a local authority on discovering mining of excess mineral beyond the permissible limit or of mining activities in violation of the mining permit. Such penalties imposed for violation of laws cannot be regarded as consideration charged by Government or a Local Authority for tolerating violation of laws. Laws are not framed for tolerating their violation. They stipulate penalty not for tolerating violation but for not tolerating, penalizing and deterring

such violations. There is no agreement between the Government and the violator specifying that violation would be allowed or permitted against payment of fine or penalty. There cannot be such an agreement as violation of law is never a lawful object or consideration. The service tax education guide issued in 2012 on advent of negative list regime of services explained that fines and penalties paid for violation of provisions of law are not considerations as no service is received in lieu of payment of such fines and penalties.

7.4.1 It was also clarified vide Circular No. 192/02/2016-Service Tax, dated 13.04.2016 that fines and penalty chargeable by Government or a local authority imposed for violation of a statute, bye-laws, rules or regulations are not leviable to Service Tax. The same holds true for GST also.

**Forfeiture of salary or payment of bond amount in the event of the employee leaving the employment before the minimum agreed period**

7.5 An employer carries out an elaborate selection process and incurs expenditure in recruiting an employee, invests in his training and makes him a part of the organization, privy to its processes and business secrets in the expectation that the recruited employee would work for the organization for a certain minimum period. Premature leaving of the employment results in disruption of work and an undesirable situation. The provisions for forfeiture of salary or recovery of bond amount in the event of the employee leaving the employment before the minimum agreed period are incorporated in the employment contract to discourage non-serious candidates from taking up employment. The said amounts are recovered by the employer not as a consideration for tolerating the act of such premature quitting of employment but as penalties for dissuading the non-serious employees from taking up employment and to discourage and deter such a situation. Further, the employee does not get anything in return from the employer against payment of such amounts. Therefore, such amounts recovered by the employer are not taxable as consideration for the service of agreeing to tolerate an act or a situation.

**Compensation for not collecting toll charges**

8. In the wake of demonetization, NHAI directed the concessionaires (toll operators) to allow free access of toll roads to the users from 8.11.2016 to 1.12.2016 for which the loss of toll charge was paid as compensation by NHAI as per the instructions of Ministry of Road Transportation and Highways. The toll reimbursements were calculated based on the average monthly collection of toll. A question arose whether the compensation paid to the concessionaire by project authorities (NHAI) in lieu of suspension of toll collection during the demonetization period (from 8.11.2016 to 1.12.2016) was taxable as a service by way of agreeing to refrain from collection of toll from users.

8.1 It has been clarified vide Circular No. 212/2/2019-ST dated 21.05.2019 that the service that is provided by toll operators is that of access to a road or bridge, toll charges being merely a consideration for that service. During the period from 8.11.2016 to 1.12.2016, the service of access to a road or bridge continued to be provided without collection of toll from users. Consideration came from the project authority. The fact that for this period, for the same service, consideration came from a person other than the actual user of service does not mean that the service has changed.

### **Late payment surcharge or fee**

9. The facility of accepting late payments with interest or late payment fee, fine or penalty is a facility granted by supplier naturally bundled with the main supply. It is not uncommon or unnatural for customers to sometimes miss the last date of payment of electricity, water, telecommunication services etc. Almost all service providers across the world provide the facility of accepting late payments with late fine or penalty. Even if this service is described as a service of tolerating the act of late payment, it is an ancillary supply naturally bundled and supplied in conjunction with the principal supply, and therefore should be assessed as the principal supply. Since it is ancillary to and naturally bundled with the principal supply such as of electricity, water, telecommunication, cooking gas, insurance etc. it should be assessed at the same rate as the principal supply. However, the same cannot be said of cheque dishonor fine or penalty as discussed in the preceding paragraphs.

### **Fixed Capacity charges for Power**

10. The price charged for electricity by the power generating companies from the State Electricity Boards (SEBs)/DISCOMS or by SEBs/DISCOMs from individual customers has two components, namely, a minimum fixed charge (or capacity charge) and variable per unit charge. The minimum fixed charges have to be paid by the SEBs/DISCOMS/individual customers irrespective of the quantity of electricity scheduled or purchased by them during a month. They take care of the fixed cost of generating/ supplying electricity. The variable charges are charged per unit of electricity purchased and increase or decrease every month depending on the quantity of electricity consumed.

10.1 The fact that the minimum fixed charges remain the same whether electricity is consumed or not or it is scheduled/consumed below the contracted or available capacity or a minimum threshold, does not mean that minimum fixed charge or part of it is a charge for tolerating the act of not scheduling or consuming the minimum the contracted or available capacity or a minimum threshold.

10.2 Both the components of the price, the minimum fixed charges/capacity charges and the variable/energy charges are charged for sale of electricity and are thus not taxable as electricity is exempt from GST. Power purchase agreements may have provisions that the power producer shall

not supply electricity to a third party without approval of buyer. Such agreements which ensure assured supply of power to State Electricity Boards/DISCOMS are ancillary arrangements; the contract is essentially for supply of electricity.

### **Cancellation charges**

11. A supply contracted for, such as booking of hotel accommodation, an entertainment event or a journey, may be cancelled by a customer or may not proceed as intended due to his failure to show up for availing the same at the designated place and time. The supplier may allow cancellation of supply by the customer within a certain specified time period on payment of cancellation fee as per commercial terms of the contract. In case the customer does not show up for availing the service, the supplier may retain or forfeit part of the consideration or security deposit or earnest money paid by the customer for the intended supply.

11.1 It is a common business practice for suppliers of services such as hotel accommodation, tour and travel, transportation etc. to provide the facility of cancellation of the intended supplies within a certain time period on payment of cancellation fee. Cancellation fee can be considered as the charges for the costs involved in making arrangements for the intended supply and the costs involved in cancellation of the supply, such as in cancellation of reserved tickets by the Indian Railways.

11.2 Services such as transportation travel and tour constitute a bundle of services. The transportation service, for instance, starts with booking of the ticket for travel and lasts at least till exit of the passenger from the destination terminal. All services such as making available an online portal or convenient booking counters with basic facilities at the transportation terminal or in the city, to reserve the seats and issue tickets for reserved seats much in advance of the travel, giving preferred seats with or without extra cost, lounge and waiting room facilities at airports, railway stations and bus terminals, provision of basic necessities such as soap and other toiletries in the wash rooms, clean drinking water in the waiting area etc. form part and parcel of the transportation service; they constitute the various elements of passenger transportation service, a composite supply.. The facilitation service of allowing cancellation against payment of cancellation charges is also a natural part of this bundle. It is invariably supplied by all suppliers of passenger transportation service as naturally bundled and in conjunction with the principal supply of transportation in the ordinary course of business.

11.3 Therefore, facilitation supply of allowing cancellation of an intended supply against payment of cancellation fee or retention or forfeiture of a part or whole of the consideration or security deposit in such cases should be assessed as the principal supply. For example, cancellation charges of railway tickets for a class would attract GST at the same rate as applicable to the class

of travel (i.e., 5% GST on first class or air-conditioned coach ticket and nil for other classes such as second sleeper class). Same is the case for air travel.

11.4 Accordingly, the amount forfeited in the case of non-refundable ticket for air travel or security deposit or earnest money forfeited in case of the customer failing to avail the travel, tour operator or hotel accommodation service or such other intended supplies should be assessed at the same rate as applicable to the service contract, say air transport or tour operator service, or other such services.

11.5 However, as discussed above, forfeiture of earnest money by a seller in case of breach of 'an agreement to sell' an immovable property by the buyer or such forfeiture by Government or local authority in the event of a successful bidder failing to act after winning the bid for allotment of natural resources, is a mere flow of money, as the buyer or the successful bidder does not get anything in return for such forfeiture of earnest money. Forfeiture of earnest money is stipulated in such cases not as a consideration for tolerating the breach of contract but as a compensation for the losses suffered and as a penalty for discouraging the non-serious buyers or bidders. Such payments being merely flow of money are not a consideration for any supply and are not taxable.

12. Field formations are advised that while the taxability in each case shall depend on facts of that case, the above guidelines may be followed in determining whether tax on an activity or transaction needs to be paid treating the same as service by way of agreeing to the obligation to refrain from an act or to tolerate an act or a situation, or to do an act.

13. Any difficulty in implementation of the circular may be brought to the notice of the Board.

Yours faithfully,

(Smita Roy)  
Technical Officer, TRU  
Email: smita.roy@gov.in